

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,250	10/28/2005	Zaihui Zhang	540057.414USPC	5096
500 7590 08/28/2007 SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE			EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, TIMOTHY P	
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE W	SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>55</i> , 111, 55, 111, 5	. 70101		1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/520,250	ZHANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Timothy P. Thomas	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	UVIS SET TO EVOIDE 4 MA				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roll of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	January 2005.	•			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,40,42,43 and 45-77</u> is/are pending	g in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,40,42,43 and 45-77</u> are subject to	restriction and/or election re	equirement.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	an priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	y., priemy ander de diere. 3				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	pplication No			
Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	st of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 54-77 (in part), drawn to a pharmaceutical composition.

Group II, claim(s) 40, 42-43, 45-52, 54-77 (in part), drawn to a method of treating a mammal.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking groups I-II is a compound of formula I. Kreighbaum et al. (US 4,015,006; IDS Reference AB) teach a group of compounds, such as formula I (column 1), which reads on the instant formula I of claim 1, administration to dogs are also taught (column 5, lines 10-22). It is noted that the purpose taught by Kreighbaum is not the same as that claimed for the pharmaceutical composition of claim 1, however, the composition taught by Kreighbaum would also be useful for the purpose of instant claim 1, since it contains the same active ingredient. Since the technical feature has previously been disclosed, the technical feature lacks novelty. Therefore the technical feature linking the inventions of groups I-II does not constitute a special technical

feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Accordingly, Groups I-II are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single inventive concept.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- (i) For either Group I or II elected, applicant is required to elect a single disclosed compound specie of formula I; and
- (ii) If Group II is elected, applicant must elect (iia) or (iib) and also a specie for(iic):
 - (iia) a method of treating a mammal (in vivo; claims 45); or
 - (iib) a method of treating a mammalian cell, in vitro (claim 48);

and

(iic) applicant must elect a single disclosed disease or disorder.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Application/Control Number: 10/520,250

Art Unit: 1614

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 4

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

- (i) All claims
- (ii) Claims 40, 42-43, 45-52, 54-77

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1, 40, 42-43, 45-53 are generic for a compound.

- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: As described above a compound of formula I has been disclosed in the prior art, therefore the technical feature linking the species lacks novelty, and therefore does not constitute a corresponding special technical feature.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

 Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

 All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to

Application/Control Number: 10/520,250

Art Unit: 1614

be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement

Page 6

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy P. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-8994. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,250

Art Unit: 1614

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TPT/ Timothy P. Thomas Patent Examiner

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER